To: CN=Laura Fujii/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
Cc: CN=Karen Schwinn/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]

From: CN=Carolyn Yale/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US

Sent: Tue 8/23/2011 4:35:52 PM

Subject: Re: additional thoughts on BDCP EIS workplan - thanks, query re: how to add to

comments

Hi-

Actually, I did try to focus on the analysis methodology and other recommendations for ICF work. In some cases, I may have misstated the page cite. For example, the quotes and references regarding need for a better water quality effects method pertain to future work. (The 'critical path' quote actually comes from 'analysis methodology' on page 3-21.)

With respect to water supply-- I confess that I don't wholly grasp where they're headed. But it appear that an impact measure will continue to be supply relative to contracts. (From the recommendations under setting/affected envir.: "..., the water supply chapter could shift focus to water supply demand, and the existing deficits in the contract and full Table A deliveries, and the causes of hydrological and operating constraints.') It's not clear to me how a 'deficit in contract and Table A deliveries' would be evaluated as an impact,; it seems to me that for each 'contractor' that Delta supply will have attributes including cost that should be compared with other supply options.

And so forth...

Carolyn

Carolyn Yale US EPA Watersheds Office phone: 415-972-3482 fax: 415-947-3537 yale.carolyn@epa.gov

From: Laura Fujii/R9/USEPA/US

To: Carolyn Yale/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Kathleen Goforth/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 08/23/2011 08:31 AM

Subject: Re: additional thoughts on BDCP EIS workplan - thanks, query re: how to add to comments

Hello Carolyn,

Thanks for the very thoughtful comments and for raising important issues.

Many of your comments are in response to ICF's evaluation of what the Jan 2011 ADEIS contained versus ICF's recommendations on how to proceed in revising the ADEIS. It is not clear to me whether ICF's evaluation equals their support or concurrence with the positions/approach taken in the Jan 2011 ADEIS.

Tomorrow I will revise our comments on the ICF Work Plan and include the issues you raised, EPA's concerns, and your recommendations.

Karen - Do you have a preference or suggestion in how I capture Carolyn's concerns/comments?

Laura Fujii Region 9 US Environmental Protection Agency Environmental Review Office, CED-2 Communities and Ecosystems Division 75 Hawthorne St., San Francisco, CA. USA 94105

phone: 415-972-3852 fax: 415-947-8026 fujii.laura@epa.gov

From: Carolyn Yale/R9/USEPA/US

To: Laura Fujii/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: Kathleen Goforth/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 08/22/2011 09:43 PM

Subject: additional thoughts on BDCP EIS workplan

Look to the recommendations and summary thoughts at end of comments for text to quote or modify.

I'm less sanguine re the doc, but perhaps because I'm not familiar with the history.

[attachment "RE workplan for BDCP EISCY.doc" deleted by Carolyn Yale/R9/USEPA/US]

Carolyn Yale US EPA Watersheds Office phone: 415-972-3482 fax: 415-947-3537 yale.carolyn@epa.gov